



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/790,368                   | 03/01/2004  | Lavanya Srinivasan   | IDF 2655 (4000-17600) | 9373             |
| 28003                        | 7590        | 08/02/2007           |                       |                  |
| SPRINT                       |             |                      | EXAMINER              |                  |
| 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY          |             |                      | STEELMAN, MARY J      |                  |
| KSOPHT0101-Z2100             |             |                      |                       |                  |
| OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100 |             |                      | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                              |             |                      | 2191                  |                  |
|                              |             |                      | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                              |             |                      | 08/02/2007            | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/790,368

Applicant(s)

SRINIVASAN ET AL.

Examiner

MARY STEELMAN

Art Unit

2191

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARY STEELMAN.

(3) Alexis Reed, Applicant's Representative.

(2) Brian C. Genco, Reg. No. 58,096.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3 and 5.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPN 6,950,802 B1 to Barnes et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**MARY STEELMAN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant questioned whether Barnes was building Use Cases? Examiner believes he does and points to FIG. 7. Discussed invention: define business activities, using a modeling tool, align with levels of a business process model, building Use Cases at different levels (high level business activity mapped to Use Case). Discussed State Activity Diagram (showing sequence and flow) as shown in FIG. 6. FIG. 6: Each column is a Business Domain. A directed arc crossing a domain column, may alert to existence of a system interface. Applicant may amend claim terms. Discussed the use of Trademarks in claims. Examiner points to MPEP 7.35.01.